2. New Apostolic Church, Orissa, Plot No. N2/163, IRC, Village, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar, Orissa.

3. Gram Dam Development Society (GDDS), 58 Panchanantala Road, Howrah, West Bengal.

4. Chiranbin, Parabakshi, P.O. Bakshi, Howrah (Dist.) West Bengal.

5. Society for Development Action (SODA), Indapahi, Post Box No. 16, Baripada, Distt Mayurbhanj, Orissa.

6. Abul Kalam Azad Islamic Awakening Centre, 4, Jogabai, P.O. Box No. 9755, Jamia Nagar, New Delhi.

(C) List of organizations placed in the prohibited category for freezing bank accounts during the last three years under section 12 of the Foreign Contribution (Regulation) Act, 1976.

1. Idar-E-Talimate Islamia, (Darul-Ulm Shahe-Alam), Jamalpur Road, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

2. South Suburban Branch School Ex-Students Association, Kolkata, West Bengal.

Reduction in security personnel before attack at Ram Janmabhumi

†2437. SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ. Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that DGP of Uttar Pradesh had made recommendation for curtailment in security arrangements in Ram Janmabhumi premises a few days before the terrorist attack on Ram Janmabhumi Temple;

(b) if so, the basis on which he had made this assessment that there was no need of as much security forces there as were deployed;

(c) whether curtailment in the number of security personnel was made after implementing this recommendation; and

(d) if so, whether this is also being considered as one of the reasons behind this terrorist attack?

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAL): (a) The Government of Uttar Pradesh has informed that the Directorate General of Police, Uttar Pradesh did not recommend any curtailment in security arrangements at the Ram Janma bhoomi-Babri Masjid Complex at Ayodhya prior to the terrorist attack on the Complex.

(b) to (d) Do not arise in view of (a) above.

Amending statutes for eliminating corruptions

2438. SHRI MANOJ BHATTACHARYA:
SHRI C. RAMACHANDRAIAH:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that a few provisions in the Criminal Procedure Code, Prevention of Corruption Act and Central Vigilance Commission are bottlenecks in eliminating corruption from public service;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government propose to bring forward necessary legislation to carry out amendment in these statutes; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and if not, reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. REGUPATHY): (a) to (d) The provisions in the Criminal Procedure Code and Prevention of Corruption Act are quite effective in combating corruption and also protecting innocent and honest public servants from false and vexatious allegations. However, the Government undertakes periodical review of the functioning of the statutes, as and when, need arises. While recently the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 was amended through the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005, there is no proposal to amend the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and the Central Vigilance Commission Act, 2003.